DISTRIBUTION OF PROPAGANDA BY AIR, 1914 - 1918

By Captain Morris
Sir Campbell Stuart, K.B.E. in his book “Secrets of Crewe House” states that in October 1914 Lieutenant-Colonel Swinton, who was then acting as ‘Eye-Witness’ with the British Army, prepared a propaganda leaflet with the aid of Lord Northcliffe’s Paris “Daily Mail” organisation, and distributed by aeroplane among the German troops. “But” says Sir Campbell Stuart “the Army chiefs at that time did not show any enthusiasm for the innovation, and Colonel Swinton was unable to proceed with the project.” ¹

According to a statement by General Swinton he paid the cost of printing out of his own pocket and recovered this from the Army authorities later. ²

Nothing further seems to have been done in this sphere until Major-General MacDonough became Director of Military Intelligence at the War Office at the beginning of 1916 when a propaganda branch was established in his department. In the spring of 1916 a sub-section of this branch began the preparation of leaflets in German for distribution among enemy troops and one of the means adopted for distribution was by aeroplane. ³

According to the records of the IV Brigade a sack of newspapers was received on the 3rd April 1916 from fourth Army H.Q. containing the “Feldpost” for dropping over the German lines and the “Voix du pays” for dropping over invaded territory. These and other consignments which followed at intervals of about one month were distributed as requested.

On the 5th August 1916 H.Q. R.F.C. called for a report from brigades as to what extent they were being called upon to distribute papers in hostile territory and whether the amount of papers given for distribution caused any inconvenience. They were informed that “Special flights for the distribution of newspapers are not to be undertaken without previous reference to R.F.C., H. Q.”

The Brigadier-General commanding the IV Brigade replied that papers for distribution in hostile territory were received about once a month and were dropped by aeroplanes whilst performing their ordinary duties. He had not kept a record of the exact number of papers received but their distribution had not caused any inconvenience.

On the 27th June 1917 H.Q. R.F.C. informed brigades that “the distribution of propaganda by aeroplanes over the enemy lines will cease until further orders”.

¹
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This prohibition was cancelled on the 27th July 1917 when the distribution by aeroplane was ordered to be resumed.  

In the meantime there had been certain developments. On the 6th June 1917 the Swiss Minister transmitted to the Foreign Office a note from the German Foreign Office dated 22nd May 1917 stating that enemy airmen on the German Western Front had recently dropped “inflammatory writings, some in particular directed against His Majesty the German Emperor.” The note stated that such acts, were in the opinion of the German Government, outside the scope of acts of war, and that instruction had been issued that enemy airmen on whom such writings were found or who have been guilty of scattering them, would be tried by Court Martial. Next information came to hand that British officers of the R.F.C. (captain H.R. Hawkins and 2nd Lieutenants G.O. McEntee, J.D.M. Stewart and G.M. Hopkins) were tried by Court Martial on the 17th October 1917 for “having in April 1917, distributed (by dropping them from aeroplanes) pamphlets, which contained insults against the German Army and Government, amongst the German Army fighting in the Western Theatre of war”.

This was claimed by the Germans to be an offence punishable under paragraph 58, sub-para. 9 of the “Militärstrafgesetzbuch” (“Manual of Military Law”). Paragraph 58 (reads) “He will be punished with death for treason who, with the intention to give aid to a foreign power or to prejudice the German or Allied troops”. Sub-para. 9 (reads) “Spreads hostile appeals or proclamations in the Army”.

According to a report of the trial by Dr. Hoekstra of the Netherlands Legation, Berlin, the prosecuting counsel argued that the accused had committed a breach of International Law and should be tried in accordance with paragraph 58 of the “Militärstrafgesetzbuch“ but the fact that the accused belonged to a hostile armed power should be taken into account in mitigation of their offence in determining the penalty to be imposed. He demanded that each of the accused be sentenced to ten years penal servitude which was the minimum penalty for their offence.

The Court considered that under paragraph 160 of the “Militärstrafgesetzbuch“ and paragraph 5(4) of the Imperial Military rules of the criminal procedure (sic) German law was applicable in the case of the accused.

Paragraph 160 (reads) “A foreigner or German who makes himself guilty of one of the Acts mentioned in paragraphs 57-59 and 134 during a war against the German Empire, will be punished in accordance with the sentences mentioned in these paragraphs”.
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BEKANNTMACHERUNG.

EINE AUFKLÄRUNG FÜR DIE DEUTSCHEN SOLDATEN.

Es ist bekannt geworden, dass den deutschen Soldaten mitgeteilt worden ist, die Engländer behandeln in unmenschlicher Weise die von ihnen Gefangengenommenen. Das ist eine Lüge.

Alle die deutschen Kriegsgefangenen werden gut behandelt, und erhalten von den Engländern dieselbe Versorgung wie ihre eigenen Soldaten. Diese Gelegenheit wird jetzt wahrgenommen, um den deutschen Soldaten über einige Tatsachen, die ihm bis jetzt geheim gehalten wurden, Aufschluss zu geben.

Das deutsche Heer hat niemals Paris erreicht noch besetzt, und hat sich seit dem 5. September davon zurückgezogen.

Das englische Heer ist weder gefangen noch geschlagen. Es nimmt jeden Tag an Kraft zu.


Russland und Serbien haben Österreich in so entschlossener Weise geschlagen, dass es gar keine Rolle mehr spielt.

Mit Ausnahme von einigen Kreuzern, ist die deutsche Schifffahrt, Handels sowie Kriegsmarine auf dem Meer nicht mehr zu sehen.

Die englischen und deutschen Flotten haben alte Verluste erlitten, die Deutschen jedoch die schwersten.

Deutschland hat schon mehrere Kolonien verloren, und wird in kurzer Zeit was ihr Übrig bleibt auch verlieren. Japan hat Deutschland den Krieg erklärt, Kiao-chiao wird von den Engländern und Japanern jetzt belagert.

Die in der Presse verbreitete Nachricht, dass die englischen Kolonien in Indien im Aufstand gegen Grossbritannien seien, ist total unzutreffend. Ganz im Gegenteil, haben diese Kolonien grosse Truppendele und viele Verpflegungsmittel, um dem Vaterland beizustehen, nach Frankreich gesandt.

Irland ist mit England einig, und schickt vom Norden und Süden seine Soldaten, die mit Begeisterung neben ihren englischen Kameraden kämpfen.


Diese Tatsachen bringen wir zur allgemeinen Kenntnis, um die von Euch verborgene Wahrheit ans Licht zu bringen. Ihr kämpft nicht um Euer Vaterland zu verteidigen, da es keinem Menschen eingefallen ist, Deutschland anzuziehen. Ihr kämpft um die vortreffliche Kriegslust der Militärparty auf Kosten der wahren Interessen des Vaterlandes zu befeuern.


DIE RÜSSEN ERRANGEN AM 4. OKTOBER EINEN GEWALTIGEN SIEG ÜBER DIE DEUTSCHEN ARMEEIN IN OSTPREUSSEN. VERLUSTE DER DEUTSCHEN 70,000

Leaflet Bekanntmachung, produced by Lieutenant-Colonel Swinton and dropped on German Troops in October 1914.
NOTICE.

NEWS FOR THE GERMAN SOLDIERS.

It has come to notice that the German soldiers have been informed, that the English have treated their prisoners in an unmanly fashion. This is a lie. All the German prisoners of war have been well treated, and are looked after by the British just as if they were men of their own.

This opportunity is being taken to enlighten the German soldiers on a few points which have so far been treated as confidential.

The German army has never reached, or taken, Paris, but since the 5th September have retreated from there.

The English army has neither been captured nor beaten. It is being reinforced day by day.

The French army is not beaten. Totally in the reverse, the Germans received a severe defeat at MONTMIRAIL.

Russia and Serbia have defeated Austria in such a manner that she plays no further part.

With the exception of a few cruisers neither merchandise nor warships of the German fleet are to be seen on the sea.

The English and German fleets have both had heavy losses, but the German losses have been by far the heaviest.

Germany has already lost several colonies, and will in a very short while loose the remainder. Japan has declared war on Germany, Kiau-chiao is at present being besieged by the British and Japanese.

The rumours spread about in the press to the effect that the English colonies in India are in mutiny against England is totally untrue. In the reverse, these colonies have sent many troops and supplies into France to help their country.

Ireland is allied with Great Britain, and is sending soldiers from the north and south; they are fighting with great courage by the side of their English comrades.

The Kaiser and his Prussian ministers started this war against all interests of the Fatherland. In secret they prepared themselves for it. Germany alone started the war, to which cause the following facts are due. Now we have ceased our victorious advance. Supported by the sympathy of the whole world, who were dead against any such war as this, Great Britain, France, Russia, Belgium, Serbia, Montenegro and Japan are bent on carrying through this war until their end is attained.

These facts are being brought to the general notice in order to throw some light on the truth which has been kept in darkness so long.

You are not fighting to defend your country as no one ever had the wish to attack it. You are fighting to satisfy the war lust of the military parties with the idea that it is all in the true interests of the Fatherland. It is simply infamous!

At first view these facts will seem doubtful to you, but it is now up to you to compare this with the news published in the newspapers during the last few weeks.

THE RUSSIANS GAINED A GREAT VICTORY IN EASTERN PRUSSIA ON THE 4TH OCTOBER. GERMAN LOSSES NUMBER OVER 70,000
In the result the Court found that the charges against Hopkins and Stewart were not proved and they were acquitted on that ground.

As regards Hawkins and McEntee the Court found that there was a prima-facie case against them under paragraph 58 sub-para. 9 of the “Militärstrafgesetzbuch”. The Court however were not satisfied that the act committed by the accused was contrary to International Law and even admitting that to be so, the Court considered that the accused were unaware of the illegality of their actions in the eyes of International Law and it must in consequence acquit these two accused likewise.

After the end of the pleading the presiding judge read out a declaration by the Higher Army Command stating that the dropping of incendiary pamphlets is regarded by the German authorities as being contrary to International law and that a communication to this effect had been transmitted to the enemy powers through the proper foreign Legation. But says Dr. Hoekstra, “This declaration by the Higher Army Command was, however, made after the event.”

Subsequently Dr. Pape, who was one of the counsel for the defence, reported that the acquittal of the four officers had been confirmed. Next a statement appeared in the German Press and was also circulated by German wireless that Second Lieutenant E. Scholtz and H.C. Wookey R.F.C. of No. 11 Squadron who were shot down near Cambrai on 17th October 1917 had been sentenced on the 1st December 1917 by a German court-martial to ten years penal servitude for dropping pamphlets. On the 4th February 1918 a protest was telegraphed to the British Minister at the Hague for communication to the German Government, who were informed that “His Majesty’s Government do not regard such action as contrary to International Law and no justification has been produced by the German Government in support of their contention that such action is outside the scope of the laws of war.”

The German Government were warned that failing the release of these two officers, His Majesty’s Government would proceed to reprisals after the expiration of the months grace in accordance with the Hague Agreement between the two Governments in regard to Prisoners of War.

The following day the German wireless announced that as regards the sentences on Scholtz and Wookey:

“For more than two years leaflets of an inflammatory nature have been dropped behind German lines by English Officers, in order to corrupt our troops and by descriptions with illustrations of the good treatment of German prisoners in England, to induce them to desert.”
The allegation was made that two German officers had been court-martialled by the Entente as far back as March 1915 for dropping leaflets and had been condemned to death, the sentence afterwards being commuted to penal servitude for life. It was also alleged that France had announced her intention of court-martialling after capture any German flying officers who dropped leaflets behind French lines and in consequence as a reprisal the two English flying officers found guilty of war-treachery were condemned to ten years imprisonment.

On the 2nd March 1918 a telegram was sent to Sir W. Townley the British Minister at the Hague for transmission to the Netherlands Minister at Berlin for the information of the German Government, in which it was stated that “No German airmen have ever been condemned by H.M. Government for acts similar to those alleged against Lieutenants Scholtz and Wookey. His Majesty’s Government are also informed though this does not affect the case that no German aviator has been court-martialled for distributing propaganda by the French authorities, nor has it ever been proposed that they should be.”

Meanwhile it was learned that Lt. H. Whitworth, Sec. Lt. W.C. Pruden, Sergeant Everix and Gunner Conlin all of the R.F.C. were in prison awaiting trial on charges similar to those made against Lt. Scholtz and Wookey.

His Majesty’s Government therefore on the 21st March 1918 telegraphed to the British Minister at the Hague requesting an immediate assurance from the German Government that:

“(a) the above officers and men as well as Lts. Scholtz and Wookey have been released from prison and sent to a camp befitting prisoners of war and that no further proceedings will be taken against them,

“(b) that no other officers are still in prison on a similar charge.”

In the event of non-compliance with these demands reprisals were threatened. On the 19 April 1918 Sir W. Townley telegraphed that he had been informed by the Netherlands Minister at Berlin that Lt. Whitworth and Pruden and Sergeant Everix and Gunner Conlin had been acquitted.

In a note dated 9 March 1918 in reply to the protests of the British Government the German Government stated that the details of the case of Lts. Scholtz and Wookey were not yet known to the German Military Administration as the documents relating to the trial had not yet come to hand for scrutiny. The note stated that a definite reply to the British notes would therefore not be possible within the period prescribed by the British Government and in the meantime the German Government expected that the reprisals threatened by the British
Government would not be promptly carried into effect and that the British Government would await the reply of the General Army Administration.

On the 11th March there appeared an announcement in “The Times” by the Prisoners of War Department that Lts. Scholtz and Wookey would be released and returned to their camps. The same day a telegram was received from the British Minister at the Hague containing a communication from the German Government requesting postponement of measures of reprisals as Lts. Scholtz and Wookey were being released to their camps although the sentence passed on them could not be altered. The telegraphic reply which was dispatched on the 12th March stated that “as Scholtz and Wookey are to be released our reprisals will be suspended. It should, however, be made clear that His Majesty’s Government in no way admit that the alleged action for which these officers were condemned is one that justifies any sentence and consequently any pardon.” The German Government were also requested to state the camp to which Lts. Scholtz and Wookey were being transferred with date of transfer, and to be assured that they were now receiving proper treatment as prisoners of war.  

The official German note which followed was dated 28 March 1918 and stated that “The competent Legal Authorities have decided to postpone for the meantime the execution of the sentences passed on Flight Officers Scholtz and Wookey and instructions have been given to transfer the officers in question to the Camp at Karlsruhe.”

The British Government were not prepared to recognise any legal grounds for the sentence of British airmen who fall into German hands by reason of their having dropped pamphlets on German territory or having been captured with their machines equipped for that purpose and reprisals were again threatened should any such British airmen in the future be treated as other than ordinary prisoners of war.

The German Government in a note dated 30th April 1918 whilst maintaining there previous attitude suggested that the British Government should expressly forbid their airmen to carry or distribute provocative literature and the German Government would in turn repeat their existing prohibition on such acts by German airmen. In either case these orders were to be issued or renewed on the 1st June 1918. In the event of the British Government agreeing to this the German Government undertook to obtain free pardon for Lts. Scholtz and Wookey.

The British Government reiterated their refusal to recognise the legality of the German view.
A memorandum dated 1st July 1918 was presented to the British delegates by the German delegates at a Hague Conference dealing with prisoners of war. In this the German Government pressed for a prompt statement of the attitude of the British Government regarding the proposal made in the German note of the 30th April 1918.

In the course of the discussion on this memo which took place between the various Government departments concerned, the Air Ministry as late as September 1918 were inclined to agree to the German proposal “mutually to forbid the use of aeroplanes for the distribution of propaganda” but they considered that the matter in general should be dealt with by the War Cabinet.

In a telegram dated the 4th October 1918 the British Government rejected the German proposal and reaffirmed the views expressed in their previous communication on the subject.

On the 23rd October a telegram was received from Sir. W. Townley in which it was stated that the German Government had withdrawn the order whereby airmen who are captured with inflammatory pamphlets in their possession were to be brought to trial and in consequence action in regard to Lts. Scholtz and Wookey had been suspended.

The reply dated 1st November 1918 noted that Lts. Scholtz and Wookey were still under sentence of ten years penal servitude and requested that the German Government be informed that “His Majesty’s Government cannot acquiesce in this situation and must demand the immediate quashing of the sentence upon these two officers.”

After the conviction of Lts. Scholtz and Wookey became known the C.G.S. in France ruled that there was to be no distribution of propaganda literature from aeroplane under any circumstances whatever, and that all further distribution was to be carried out by balloon. In fact cards like this were printed and carried in British aeroplanes on the Western Front.
Sir Campbell Stuart commenting on the suspension of aeroplanes for distribution says “This method had the widest limits, and at the same time, was the best means of carrying a large bulk and of distributing with accuracy. Perturbed by the success attained, the Germans threatened to inflict severe penalties upon airmen captured when performing such duties, and, on capturing two British airmen, followed their threats by action. Instead of instituting immediate reprisals, the British authorities tamely submitted and gave instructions for the discontinuance of the use of aeroplanes for the purpose.”

“Attention was then directed to alternative methods of distribution and after various suggestions had been investigated it was eventually decided to utilise special balloons for the purpose. In its standard form the propaganda balloon was manufactured at the rate of nearly 2,000 a week. It was made of paper, cut in ten longitudinal panels, with a neck of oiled silk about 12 inches long. The circumference was about 20 feet and the height, when inflated, over 8 feet. The absolute capacity was approximately 100 cubic feet but the balloons were liberated when not quite taut, containing 90 to 95 cubic feet of hydrogen. Leakage of gas was restricted by the use of a suitable “dope” which prevented appreciable diffusion of the gas for two or three hours. The load consisted of some 500 to 1,000 leaflets which were released in batches by the burning of specially prepared cotton wick, similar to that used in flint pipe-lighters. Although runs of 150 miles were possible with the balloons produced at the time of the Armistice, the bulk of the leaflets were distributed over an area of from 10 to 50 miles behind enemy lines.”

“The prevailing westerly wind in France which was such a drawback to our aeroplanes proved extremely favourable for the propaganda balloons. The unit for distribution consisted of two motor lorries, which took the men, the cylinders of hydrogen, and the propaganda loaded on releases to a sheltered position selected in the morning by the officer in charge after consultation with the meteorological experts. The vans were drawn up end to end, separated by a distance of about ten feet, and a curtain of canvas was then stretched on the windward side between the vans, thus forming a three-sided chamber. The
balloon was laid on the ground, rapidly filled, the release attached and lighted, and the balloon liberated, the whole operation taking only a few minutes.” 11

Lord Northcliffe, who had been appointed Director of Propaganda in Enemy Countries in February 1918, repeatedly pressed for the raising of the embargo on the distribution of propaganda by aeroplane. He did not regard the balloon as an effective alternative. Says Sir Campbell Stuart, “It was a curious commentary on the British attitude that the French continued to use aeroplanes for the purpose on the Western Front. Many weeks passed before the War Cabinet agreed to the resumption of the use of aeroplanes, and even then the Air Ministry raised further objection. Finally, all obstacles were overcome, but not until the end of October. In one week 3,000,000 leaflets were prepared for the interior of Germany, and the distribution of these was begun just before the Armistice.” 12

In a letter to Lord Weir dated 14th October 1918 Sir John Salmond represented that if it was considered necessary that aircraft should be used for distribution of propaganda it could be done without loss of efficiency. He estimated that 7,210 packages could be dropped per week. But he suggested that in view of the fact that the enemy was then in full retreat and “to put it mildly, in an extremely tractable state of mind, it will be a great deal more efficacious to concentrate our efforts on killing him than on educating him.” 13

Eventually the Air Ministry decided that the dropping of propaganda by aircraft was to be undertaken by the R.A.F. and this decision was communicated by H.Q. R.A.F. to brigades and other units on the 10th May 1918. The plan arranged for D.H., F.E. and H.F., squadrons to do the work, some 7½ tons per week being dropped in favorable conditions.
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